



ISSN: 2377-5378 (Print)
ISSN: 2377-8148 (Online)

CODEN : PEONAW

Pollution and Environment (PE)

DOI : <http://doi.org/10.26480/pe.01.2018.01.04>



USING WASTE INCINERATION TO GENERATE ELECTRICAL ENERGY AT EL-MARJ CITY

Monaem Elmnifi^{1*}, Naji Abdelwanis², Otman Imrayed³

¹Joife Company of oil technology, Benghazi, Libya

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Omar Al-Mukhtar University, Libya

³Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Sirte University, Libya

*Corresponding Author's Email: monm.hamad@yahoo.com

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

ARTICLE DETAILS

Article History:

Received 12 November 2017
Accepted 12 December 2017
Available online 1 January 2018

ABSTRACT

Incineration is used to reduce the effect of harmful environmental and health problems resultant from waste dumping into unsuitable landfills. The aim of this paper is to use incineration method to produce electrical energy from waste treatment. It is a new technology that destructs the solid waste by controlled burning at high temperatures. Different applications of incineration techniques have been evaluated in order to treat waste in El-Marj city. Based on daily waste weight for every person random samples are collected from different zones in the city for about 8 years. The thermodynamic model calculations of proposed plant show that one ton of waste can produce one MW of electricity.

KEYWORDS

Incineration; waste; electrical energy; environment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Thermal and non-thermal techniques are most common and popular method for municipal solid waste (MSW) treatment to produce energy. Based on the study, these technologies are widely used to reduce the environmental impacts caused by inadequate waste management [1]. Incineration and all other high temperature treatments are classified as waste thermal treatment. The basic idea of thermal process is to use heat resulting from burning waste to generate energy, while the non-thermal process is to generate energy without direct burning of waste or any burning resource [2].

As a result of continues improvement of thermal technologies, there are about 600 plants using incineration processes has been established recently to produce energy [3]. Incineration is considered as one of the common thermal methods, that is widely used as a treatment technique for MSW. One of main advantages of incineration is to reduce the quantity and volume of the waste. according to research, in incineration treatment process, unprepared row materials are usually used [4]. Organics from the waste are collected and burnt at high temperatures. The incineration technique is an active technique that directly control of burning mixed waste in the presence of air at temperature range of 600- 850C° [5].

In addition to incineration, there are two waste thermal techniques which known as advanced thermal treatment (ATT) used in waste industry as gasification, pyrolysis. Pyrolysis can be classified as a process without the use of oxygen. A scholar said that it requires external heat to maintain the desirable temperature, while gasification is considered to be between pyrolysis and combustion with control of oxygen [6]. Compare to the other two thermal methods, incineration requires less area and less waste volume and quantity which can be considered to be more appropriate for Libyan waste management than the others.

Even though several ways are widely used to treat waste in the World, Libyan waste management has not applied any of the basic techniques at any waste treatment level. In this paper, Pfaffenau incineration treatments model has been studied to apply it in El-Marj city. The results show that the rate of waste in the city (kg/capita/ day) has been

studied to apply it in El-Marj city. The results show that the rate of waste in the city (kg/capita/ day) has been increased from 0.68 in 2006 to 1.08 in 2015. In addition, based on the thermodynamic equation our calculations show that one MW can be generated from one-ton MSW. This paper is divided as following, types of thermal of waste treatment, data collections, model, results and conclusions.

2. METHODS OF THERMAL WASTE TREATMENT

The major difference between the three thermal technologies incineration, pyrolysis and gasification is shown in table 1. Temperature, pressure, atmosphere, stoichiometric ratio and products from the process are used as the base of comparisons between the three methods [7].

Table 1: Typical reaction conditions and products from pyrolysis, gasification and incineration Processes Adapted from

	Pyrolysis	Gasification	Combustion
Temperature (°C)	250 - 700	500 – 1600	800 – 1450
Pressure (bar)	1	1 – 145	1
Atmosphere	Inert/nitrogen	Gasification agent: O ₂ , H ₂ O	Air
Stoichiometric ratio	0	<1	>1
Products from the Process			
Gas phase:	H ₂ , CO, hydrocarbons, H ₂ O, N ₂	H ₂ , CH ₄ , CO, CO ₂ , H ₂ O, N ₂	CO ₂ , H ₂ O, O ₂ , N ₂
Solid phase:	Ash, coke	Slag, ash	Ash, slag
Liquid phase:	Pyrolysis oil and water		

3. EL-MARJ MSWI MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE INPUT DATA

MSW data are collected from EL-Marj city during the period of (2006 – 2015). In 2001, the percentage of MSW per capita was about 0.915 kg / day, while in 2006 the proportion of the individual production of MSW

was 0.98 kg per day. The Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) generation in the Middle East and North Africa is estimated about 0.16 to 5.7 kg /person/day, with an average of 1.1 kg/capita/day [8]. Based on the previous researches and our calculations the rate of 0.68-1.08 is used in this study. The technical data for MSWI potential for EL-Marj is shown in table 2.

The average of waste can be calculated as following equation.

$$\text{The average of waste } \frac{kg}{capita} = \frac{\text{total amount of waste per one family}}{\text{number of family members}}$$

In this research, the average number of a family is assumed to be 7.

Table 2: Technical data for MSWI potential of EL-MARJ

Year	Citizens	Waste indicators [kg/capita/day]	Waste quantity [Kg/year]	Waste quantity [ton/year]	The cumulative amount of waste
2006	55340	0.68	37.7	13505	13505
2007	62234	0.98	60.6	22228.5	35733.5
2008	71674	0.99	70.95	25896.75	61630.25
2009	80467	1.00	80.46	29367.9	90998.15
2010	89654	1.015	90.10	32886.5	123884.65
2011	98675	1.028	101.43	37021.9	160906.5
2012	107765	1.041	112.18	40945.7	201852.2
2013	116897	1.054	123.2	44969	246821.2
2014	125987	1.067	134.43	49067	295888.2
2015	135119	1.08	145.93	53264	349152.2

PHYSICAL MODEL

Pfaffenau combustion plant is implemented in this research, data and schematic diagram are shown in the following table 3 and figure1 respectively.

Table 3: General data of the fluidized bed reactor of the waste incineration plant Pfaffenau.

Fluidized bed reactor Pfaffenau:	
Start-up	1994
Start-up after overhaul	31.12.2000
Firing technology	Fluidized bed reactor
Waste throughput	26000t
Average calorific value of the waste	5000 - 30000 kJ kg ⁻¹
Thermal output	8 MW
Operating hours (test operation)	7300




Figure 1: Shows the general structure of the power station of waste incineration.

According to Pfaffenau model the general construction of the station is consisting of the following units:

1. Waste bunker
2. Firing system: fluidized bed reactor

3. Waste heat boiler
4. Flue-gas cleaning devices consisting of: Electrostatic precipitator, three-stage wet scrubber,
5. Catalyst for NO_x removal and dioxin destruction
6. Multistage waste water treatment plant
7. Steam turbine, generator and heat decoupling system.

This model was constructed in 2000 and it operated since 2001. In the first stage of waste incineration process, the MSW are delivered to waste bunker then, moves to the pre-combustion process where metal and glasses are removed [9]. Slag and ash or ashes are produced during the combustion stage. Flue gas is cleaned by using different filters. The clean gas is emitted through chimney in the last stage of the process. Thermal conversion of waste to energy is now very much applied technology for waste management system due to the generation of heat and energy from the waste stream [10].

Mathematical model and data analysis

The mathematical model is the model that describes the accounts of the system when changing conditions of operation based on form physical in the, model is as follows: Figure 2 shows Diagram of the most essential component of the station.

The calculation of the generated potential energy from MSW incineration is obtained from thermodynamic equations mentioned below and fixed thermodynamic conditions in table 4 [11].




Figure 2: Schematic of Power Plant

The thermodynamic equation are:

$$P_m = \dot{m} \times (h_2 - h_1) \quad (1)$$

mechanical power from turbine (P_m)

$$P_g = \eta_g \times P_m \quad (2)$$

gross electric energy (P_g)

$$P_{net} = P_g - P_{own} \quad (3)$$

net electric energy (P_{net})

where:

$\dot{m}$ = mass flow rate of steam (kg/s)

η_g = electric generator efficiency (%)

P_{own} = needs of electricity of power plant (6% P_g)

h_2 = enthalpy input to turbine (kJ / kg)

$$Q_f = \dot{m}_f \times c_v \quad (4)$$

The amount of heat supplied by fuel (Q_f)

$\dot{m}_f$ = mass of waste (kg/s), c_v = calorific volume of waste (kJ/kg)

$$Q_s = \dot{m}_s \times (h_2 - h_1) \quad (5)$$

The amount of steam supplied by boiler (Q_s)

$\dot{m}_s$ = mass of steam (kg/s)

h_2 = enthalpy steam at point 2, $h_2 = f(P_2, T_2)$

h_1 = Enthalpy steam at point 1

$$\eta_o = (P_g - P_p / Q_f) \quad (6)$$

Overall Efficiency of The Plant (η_o)

needs of electricity of power pump (P_p)

$$\eta_{th} = \frac{P_{net}}{Q_s} \quad (7)$$

η_{th} Thermal efficiency
(%)

$$S.f.C = \frac{P_{net}}{(3600 \times m_f)} \quad (8)$$

$$net.S.S.C = \frac{P_{net}}{3600 \times m_s} \quad (9)$$

S.f.C specific fuel consumption
(kgf / kW h)

S.S.C specific steam consumption
(kgs / kW h)

By conducting the calculations on the station and at temperatures ranging from 400 to 600 the change in output power ranging from 20 to 40 MW. Our calculations based on the upper equations show that the best efficiency can the plant reached is 28% at a temperature of 600C° with lowest rate of fuel consumption. These results are show in table 5, table 6, table 7.

Table 4: Given thermodynamic data, $P_{net} = 20 - 40$ MW

Point	Condition	Given data
1	Compressed water	P = 95 bar T= 45 C°
2	Superheated steam	P = 90 bar, T =400 to 600 C°
3	Wet steam expansion from 2	P = 6 bar, $\eta_g = 97\%$ $\eta_B = 75\%$
4	Wet steam expansion from 3	P = 0.06 bar, X = 0.88
5	Saturated liquids	P = 0.06 bar
6	Compressed water	P = 6.5 bar
7	Saturated liquids	P = 6 bar
8	Water	P = 1 bar, T = 20 C°
9	Compressed water	P = 2 bar
10	Compressed water	P = 1.5 bar, T = 30C°

Table 5: Shows the results of the study at a temperature of 400 C°

P_{net} , MW	M_f , kg/s	η_{th}	η_o , %	s.f.c, kg/kwh	S.S.C Kg/kwh	Mcw
20	3.2	27	20	0.58	5.4	1878
25	4.05	27	20	0.58	5.3	2347
30	4.8	27	20	0.58	5.3	2738
35	5.5	27	20	0.58	5.3	3207
40	6.2	27	20	0.58	5.3	3598

Table 6: Shows the results of the study at a temperature of 500 C°

P_{net} , MW	M_f , kg/s	η_{th}	η_o , %	s.f.c, kg/kwh	S.S.C Kg/kwh	Mcw
20	2.6	25	25	0.46	3.8	1353
25	3.2	25	25	0.46	3.8	1664
30	3.9	25	25	0.46	3.8	2026
35	4.3	25	25	0.46	3.8	2388
40	4.9	25	25	0.46	3.8	2214

Table 7: Shows the results of the study at a temperature of 600 C°

P_{net} , MW	M_f , kg/s	η_{th}	η_o , %	s.f.c, kg/ kwh	S.S.C kg/ kwh	Mcw
20	2.3	38	28	0.4	3.1	1118
25	2.8	38	28	0.4	3.1	1344
30	3.4	38	28	0.4	3.1	1666
35	4.1	38	28	0.4	3.1	1988
40	4.8	38	28	0.4	3.1	2214

6. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Based on the fixed values taken from the table 4 the electrical energy produced from 20-40 MW were calculated during the changes of temperature degrees from 400 to 600C°. From equation (1) the mechanical energy was calculated (P_m) and by using equation (2) we calculated (p_g) gross energy and from it we got the total efficiency energy (p_{net}). From the thermal capacity of waste (CV) which amounts from 5000-30000 kJ/kg, where we had assumed it to be (30000), the thermal energy of waste (Q_f) was calculated from equation (4) and with using table steam on the temperature degrees from 400-600C° the Enthalpy steam ($h_1 - h_2$) was found and from it the thermal energy of steam (Q_s) was calculated. Through the previous equations, the thermal efficiency was calculated (η_{th}) with the reparation in equation (7) and the total efficiency (η_o) from equation (6). Also the rate of consumption of fuel and gas (S.f.c), (S.S.c) from equation (8,9) and through it we obtain the results that are provided in the tables (5) (6) (7) that show each study on its own included with the change of temperature degrees from 400, 500, 600C° respectively.

After holding these accounts on bikes mentioned the heat was taking the temperature of 800C° and 1200 C° and found that there is an increase in the overall efficiency of the plant with a lack of consumer waste mass.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have calculated the daily amount of solid waste for each person ,so it can be used to generate the thermal or electrical energy by burning it in the bed furnace and thus gaining heat with temperature above 850c°. We have applied thermodynamic equations to calculate the daily amount of solid waste for each person ,so it can be used to generate the thermal or electrical energy by burning it in the bed furnace and thus gaining heat with temperature above 850c°. We have applied thermodynamic equations to calculate the rate of produced energy from 20Mw to 40Mw with change in temperature from 400c° to 600c° and to achieve results for the rate of fuel consumption, total efficiency and thermal efficiency from these results it has been shown that the most efficient and least consumed fuel is at temperature of 600c°.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wachter, M. 2013. Energy recovery from municipal solid waste incineration. Timisoara case study. January.
- [2] Porteous, A. 2001. Energy from waste incineration – a state of the art emissions review with an emphasis on public acceptability. Applied Energy, 70, 157-167.
- [3] Rosenthal, E. 2010. Europe Finds Clean Energy in Trash, but U.S. Lags. The New York Times.

[4] Zaman, A. 2010. Comparative study of municipal solid waste treatment technologies using life cycle assessment method. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 7 (2): 225-234

[5] DEFRA. 2013. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Advanced Thermal Treatment of Municipal Solid Waste

[6] European Commission, Integrated Prevention and Control. 2006. Reference document on the Best Available Techniques for Waste Incineration.

[7] VDI. 2002. Thermal waste treatment: state of the art - a summary. The future of waste management in Europe, Strasbourg.

[8] <http://www.umweltzentrum.at>

[9] <http://www.afedmag.com/web/default.aspx>

[10] <http://www.worldbank.org>

[11] Rogers, G.F.C., Mayhew, Y.R. 1980. Thermodynamic and Transport Properties of Fluids. Basil Blackwell, Oxford.

